



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/907,687 08/08/97 SABOURIN

M AZNDR/346/US

EXAMINER

ALIX, YALE & RISTAS, LLP
750 MAIN STREET
HARTFORD CT 06103-2721

IM22/0425

AL VDL M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1731

DATE MAILED:

04/25/00

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

33
35
37
37

Office Action Summary	Application No. 08/907,687	Applicant(s) SABOURIN
	Examiner Steve Alvo	Group Art Unit 1731

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 8, 2000

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 2, 7, 15-27, and 29-37 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) 15-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 2, 7, 23-27, and 29-37 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31, 2, 7, 23, 25-27, 32, 33-35, 29, 30, 36, 37 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over PRUSAS et al with or without LUNAN et al or PCT 96/41914.

PRUSAS et al (column 4, lines 42-49) teaches conditioning fiber containing material at an elevated temperature and pressure with steam (column 8, lines 17-30) compressing the chips to a ratio of 3/1 to 5/1 (column 3, lines 14-15 and 36-38 and paragraph bridging columns 3-4) and chemically “refining” the chips (Fig. 5 for Kraft pulping process and column 8, lines 9-12 for refining the chips). It would have been obvious to the artisan that the high temperature steaming of PRUSAS et al would be under pressure. If this is not obvious then such would have been obvious from the teachings of LUNAN et al which teaches using high pressures (225-620 kPa or 32-90 psi) in the presteaming stage for short periods of time (16 seconds) to prevent discoloring of the pulp (see Abstract) prior to a pulp refining process (TMP). Or obvious to use high temperatures and short steaming times (10-30 seconds) as taught by PCT 96/41914. The “destructuring the fibers without significant breakage across grain boundaries” is a direct result of the “conditioning” of the fibers. The same “conditioning” is taught by the applied art. At best Applicant is optimizing the “conditioning” of the prior art. There is a reasonable expectation that the conditioning of the prior art would yield a feed material having the desired amount of

Art Unit: 1731

destructuring. *In re O'Farrel*, 7 USPQ2d 1673, 1680-81. In any event, it is well settled that an artisan with ordinary skill would have found it obvious to determine workable or even optimum values for an art recognized, result effective parameter, such as the proper amount of compression, *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215, 219; *In re Aller*, 105, USPQ 233, 235.

Claims 31, 2, 7, 23, 25-27, 32, 33-35, 29, 36, 37 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 31 and 36 call for pressures of 10-100 psi and temperatures of 90-120 degrees C. Such do not correspond to the instant disclosure or the steam tables. The specification indicates that a temperature of 90-120 degrees C is used with pressures of 15-25psi. Thus the claimed ranges are confusing. The term "without an intervening chemical digester" has not been disclosed, thus its meaning is confusing. The specification indicates that chemicals can be added to the chips and then sent to a bin. The holding of chips impregnated with chemicals in a vessel would cause delignification (digestion).

Claims 31, 2, 7, 23, 25-27, 32, 33-35, 29, 36, 37 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification indicates that a temperature of 90-120 degrees C is used with pressures of 15-25psi. Thus the claimed ranges were not disclosed in combination. The term "without an

Art Unit: 1731

intervening chemical digester" has not been disclosed.. The specification indicates that chemicals can be added to the chips and then sent to a bin. The holding of chips impregnated with chemicals in a vessel would cause delignification (digestion). It is not clear where three steaming stages, e.g. claims 31 and 36 are disclosed or where rpm's of "greater than 1500" or "greater than 1800" are disclosed.

The art rejection of claim 37 will be dropped if the pressures of 15-25 were claimed in the first two stages, the compression ratio of claim 32 was claimed and if the term "a temperature at least 10 degrees C above the glass transition temperature was added to the claim. The claim should be written in independent form containing all the limitations of claim 36. This will not by itself overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections. Applicant needs to point out where the three pressure steps of claim 36 are disclosed.

When filing an "**Official**" FAX in Art Unit 1731, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "**Official**" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "**Official**" FAX phone number for this Art Unit is **(703) 305-7718** for all papers except amendments after final, for amendments after final the FAX number is 703-305-3599. When filing an "**Unofficial**" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "**Unofficial**" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "**Unofficial**" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is **(703) 305-7115**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the **primary examiner** should be directed to **Steve Alvo** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-2048**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from **6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST)**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 703-308-3837.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0661**.

Art Unit: 1731

The Customer Services Center for Technology Center 1700 shall provide the following service assistance to external and internal customers in the areas listed below.

Services provided

- Lost/Misplaced/Requested Application retrieval
- Retrieval from PTO Publication Branch
- Retrieval from non-Publication Branch
- Status Queries (written or oral)
- Paper Matching Queries
- Certificates of Correction.
- Printer Waiting
- Patent Application Filing receipts

The Customer Service Office, TC 1700, is located in CP3-8-D13, and is open to receive requests for service in person, by phone (703) 306-5665, or E-mail "Customer Service 1700" from 8:30 am-5:00 pm each business day. The Customer Services Center is part of the Special Programs Office of TC 1700 and will be staffed by the Technical Information Specialists who will serve as Customer Service Representatives (CSR):

Carolyn E. Johnson, Marshall Gaddis, Bessie Bowie, Lucy Jones.



STEVE ALVO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1731

MSA

April 24, 2000